

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/725,788	LI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean B. Corrielus	2637	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) Scott Wolinsky.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3-4, 8-9, 15-16, 20-21, 27-28, and 32-33

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's rep. agrees to incorporate the limitation of claims 4, 9, 16, 21, 28, and 33 and in claims 3, 8, 15, 20, 27 and 32, respectively and to cancel claims 4, 9, 16, 21, 28, and 33 so as to provide proper antecedent basis for recitation "the output of each despreaders/despreading means", recited in claims 3, 8, 15, 20, 27 and 32.